
# **FACULTY FEEDBACK REPORT**

# Dr. Bhupendra Nath Dutta Smriti Mahavidyalaya



REPORT ON ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE AND AMBIENCE (FOR NAAC-SSR 2022-2023)



Prepared by

IQAC, Dr. Bhupendra Nath Dutta Smriti Mahavidyalaya



# Faculty Feedback on Academic performance and ambience

#### Data Collection for 2022-23:

Feedback is a great reinforce for learning and achievement (Mathew B.P., 2020). It has a significant impact on students' academic achievement. Positive feedback can motivate others to take action, which benefits both teaching and learning. Dr. Bhupendra Nath Dutta Smriti Mahavidyalaya collects the faculty feedback on *Academic performance and ambience*. The input was collected in an online google form from Faculty of the college and then assessed using the Likert scale's arithmetic computation. Finally, the findings have been considered about development, the teaching-learning process, and other critical topics. A total of 60 faculty from all department of the college have answered about the 15 parameters of the *Academic performance and ambience*.

#### <u>Methodology</u>:

To judge these aspects, the rating scale technique has been adopted with five different points – excellent, good, Satisfactory, poor, and very poor. For proper quantification, arithmetic scale (5, 4, 3, 2, and 1) has been assigned against this five-point scale, five (5) for 'excellent', four (4) for 'good,' and so on. Different numbers (n5 for 'excellent', n4 for 'good' and so on) of opinions have been quantified with the help of the following equation. Total score of 'Understanding of the Course' =  $(5 \times n5 + 4 \times n4 + 3 \times n3 + 2 \times n2 + 1 \times n1)$  /N. Thereafter all the derived scores have been presented in table no. 1 and has haven used for evaluation.


# Table No 1: Result of Faculty Feedback on Academic performance and ambience

Sl. No.	Statements	Excell ent (5)	Good (4)	Satisfa ctory (3)	Poor (2)	Very Poor (1)	Total	Score
1	The Quality of teaching and mentoring process in the institution facilitates cognitive, social & emotional growth.	31	27	2	0	0	60	4.48
2	The performance of the Institution providing opportunities, learning & holistic growth.	21	27	6	0	0	60	4.35
3	The role of the Institution in taking active interest in organizing Seminars, Conferences & Workshop.	29	25	3	2	1	60	4.31
4	The process undertaken by the Institution in informing stakeholders about expected competencies, course outcomes & Programme outcomes.	24	29	6	1	0	60	4.26
5	The role of the Institution in engaging students with monitoring, review & continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process.	30	26	3	1	0	60	4.41
6	The mechanism provided by the Institution to identify strengths, remedy to overcome weakness in students.	23	27	10	0	0	60	4.21
7	The role of the Institution in encouraging participation in sports and extra-curricular activities.	47	11	1	1	0	60	4.73
8	The role of the Institution in encouraging the student-centric methods for enhancing learning experiences.	28	23	8	1	0	60	4.30
9	The role of the Institution in skill enhancement, career counselling and placement.	24	26	9	1	0	60	4.21
10	The role of the Institution in redressal of student's grievances.	25	30	5	0	0	60	4.33
11	The role of the Central Library of the College in providing comprehensive computerized services.	22	28	9	1	0	60	4.18
12	Rate the services provided by the College Office.	28	25	6	0	1	60	4.31
13	Rate the Institutional Standard of Hygiene & Cleanliness.	18	36	6	0	0	60	4.20
14	Rate Institutional infrastructure for providing Peaceful & Calm Environment.	34	23	3	0	0	60	4.51
15	The overall quality of teaching-learning experience of the Institution.	30	25	5	0	0	60	4.41


## Faculty Feedback on Academic performance and ambience of the College

1. The Quality of teaching and mentoring process in the institution facilitates cognitive, social & emotional growth.


60 responses




# 2. The performance of the Institution providing opportunities, learning & holistic growth. 60 responses Excellent Good 45% Satisfactory Poor 10% Very poor 45%



4. The process undertaken by the Institution in informing stakeholders about expected competencies, course outcomes & programme outcomes 60 responses




5. The role of the Institution in engaging students with monitoring, review & continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process 60 responses




6. The mechanism provided by the Institution to identify strengths, remedy to overcome weakness in students.

60 responses





7. The role of the Institution in encouraging participation in sports and extra-curricular activities. 60 responses




8. The role of the Institution in encouraging the student-centric methods for enhancing learning experiences


60 responses







11. The role of the Central Library of the College in providing comprehensive computerised services 60 responses




Excellent


Good


Satisfactory


Poor

Very poor









### **Analysis Report of the Faculty Feedback:**

- The analysis of the faculty feedback on "Academic performance and ambience of the institution" depicts satisfactory responses in all parameters as the composite score stands good with a score above four (4) in all cases. However, slight differences have been found among the parameters.
- Among the parameters "The role of the institution in encouraging participation in sports and extra-curricular activities" stands at the top with a score of 4.73 whereas "The role of the central library of the college in providing comprehensive computerized services" gets the lowest score (4.18).
- > Our faculty members are very happy with the green and calm college environment. Thus the parameter "Institutional infrastructure for providing peaceful & calm environment of the college" gets score 4.51.
- The parameter "The Quality of teaching and mentoring process in the institution facilitates cognitive, social & emotional growth" gets the score (4.48) from the faculty members.